

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 * * *

6 EDWARD ADAMS,

Case No. 3:22-cv-00480-MMD-CSD

7 Petitioner,

ORDER

8 v.

9 GARRETT, *et al.*,10 Respondents.
11

12 On January 10, 2023, this Court granted 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus
13 petitioner Edward Adams' motion for counsel and appointed the Federal Public Defender
14 to represent Adams in this action. (ECF No. 5.) On February 9, 2023, Jeremy Baron of
15 the Federal Public Defender's Office appeared as counsel on behalf of Adams. (ECF No.
16 9.) The Court now sets a schedule for further proceedings in this action.

17 It is therefore ordered that counsel for Petitioner meet with Petitioner as soon as
18 reasonably possible, if counsel has not already done so, to: (a) review the procedures
19 applicable in cases under 28 U.S.C. § 2254; (b) discuss and explore with Petitioner, as
20 fully as possible, the potential grounds for habeas corpus relief in Petitioner's case; and
21 (c) advise Petitioner that all possible grounds for habeas corpus relief must be raised at
22 this time in this action and that the failure to do so will likely result in any omitted grounds
23 being barred from future review.

24 It is further ordered that Petitioner has 90 days from the date of this order to file
25 and serve on Respondents a second-amended petition for writ of habeas corpus.

26 It is further ordered that Respondents have 45 days after service of an amended
27 petition within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the amended petition. Any
28

1 response filed should comply with the remaining provisions below, which are entered
2 pursuant to Habeas Rule 5.

3 It is further ordered that any procedural defenses raised by Respondents in this
4 case be raised together in a single consolidated motion to dismiss. In other words, the
5 Court does not wish to address any procedural defenses raised herein either in seriatum
6 fashion in multiple successive motions to dismiss or embedded in the answer. Procedural
7 defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will be subject to potential waiver.
8 Respondents should not file a response in this case that consolidates their procedural
9 defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
10 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If Respondents do seek
11 dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they should do so within the
12 single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they should specifically direct their
13 argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart*,
14 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses, including
15 exhaustion, should be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses,
16 including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss.

17 It is further ordered that, in any answer filed on the merits, Respondents must
18 specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court
19 record materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

20 It is further ordered that, when Respondents file an answer or other responsive
21 pleading, Petitioner will have 30 days after service of the answer or responsive pleading
22 to file and serve his response.

23 It is further ordered that any additional state court record exhibits filed herein by
24 either Petitioner or Respondents be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the
25 exhibits by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed also must be identified by the
26 number or numbers of the exhibits in the attachment. Each exhibit must be filed as a
27 separate attachment.

28 ///

1 It is further ordered that, at this time, the parties send courtesy copies of any
2 responsive pleading and all indices of exhibits only to the Reno Division of this Court.
3 Courtesy copies are to be mailed to the Clerk of Court, 400 S. Virginia St., Reno, NV,
4 89501, and directed to the attention of "Staff Attorney" on the outside of the mailing
5 address label. No further courtesy copies are required unless and until requested by the
6 Court.

7 DATED THIS 10th Day of February 2023.

8
9 

10 MIRANDA M. DU
11 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28